Over time, when people, however, are simply resistant to noticing and taking into account the networking factor, I am less willing to do (especially as a volunteer) extended research on that specific area that they could do on their own time.

Submitting comments on MSM media seems less effective and not that open a forum (moderation, articles buried under more current news, articles disappear, and sometimes the media platform changes ownership and also disappears or changes radically, etc.). In other words, there's an issue with content preservation. I used to spend more time on it, but have gradually diminished involvement in that practice, unless a situation really calls for it. I also used to comment more on others' blogs, only to see those blogs get: gagged, dropped, or re-vamped entirely, losing substantial individualized effort in participating in the conversations.

"LGH Front-Page Impromptu Comments (light-blue background), for 3 pdfs to upload || Sociomedia involvement, Media Transfer, Strategic

(filename only): advantages of academics with journals ~~Screen Shot 2019-09-04 at 1.16.20 PM #2 of 3.pdf"

I sometimes still question my level of involvement/time investment on Iwitter (more recently), although it's certainly interesting and does direct readers here to more in-depth work. <a href="Iwitter's informality allows also some personal connections">Iwitter's informality allows also some personal connections</a>, or at least a sense of more personal connections, but has its major downsides (such as continuity of content and thought among participants).

This year, I've begun blending more references to Twitter into some of the posts (i.e., embedding tweets, or including links to them).

ABOUT ANNOTATED IMAGE CONTENT STORAGE TRANSFER: IT'S NOT THAT SIMPLE! ────── (See "#3 of 3" nex