

FORM RRF-1

INFORMATION REGARDING GOVERNMENT FUNDING
PART B, LINE 6

STATEMENT 15

ALL FEDERAL GRANTS ARE SUB-AWARDS THROUGH PASS THROUGH AGENT. THE
FUNDING SOURCE INFORMATION IS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1) NATIONAL SCIENCE FOUNDATION
4201 WILSON BLVD
ARLINGTON, VA 22230
PHONE: 703) 292-5111

So, I had a lot to say this time! At least read also
comment below with pink highlighting!

This nonprofit's main source of revenues (per its tax returns) are major universities, research institutions and others who access a complex, state-wide Internet2, VOIP, Cloud infrastructure, whose primary subcontractors (of the Year 2014 return — matching this one — I viewed) start with CISCO (\$2+M fees), Level 3 Communications, and others, and operating expenses include leasing access to broadbands, the fiber optic networks, and (moreover) at this point are showing a complex and well-designed (EXCEPT specifically omitting ALL financials, or any reference to them, its EIN#, etc.), and also operates a Disregarded LLC (share of revenues that yr \$12M) and a taxable Partnership (National Lambda Railway)....

This is p.2 of 2
for Form RRF
FYr 2014 (delivered
quite late) for this
California Entity
#2031925.
Website: CENIC.org
Also see p.1(RRF
actual form) %
<http://ag.ca.gov/charities/>, & the
related Form 990
(IRS) tax return

So, I say there's "no way in hell"
they cannot read AND comply with
(or say why not) a one-sentence
instruction for a "yes" answer to
Question B6 on this one-page RRF
which requires (Pass-through or no
pass-through) the naming of a
CONTACT PERSON to go with the
source of government funding.

I'll bet with some effort they could
(but haven't in recent years) bother
to file their tax return on time,
instead of about 30 days before the
end of the NEXT fiscal year. With a
June 30 YE date, that RRF (and tax
return) is due BEFORE the end of
the same calendar year.

Pardon my tone, but I read tax returns EVERY day, and RRFs that go with the California-based ones frequently, and I am getting sick of large entities that obscure information on their sources of govt revenues (either by omitting it entirely, or providing no contact person) which come from in-state AND (if federal) out of state taxpayers who deserve to know where the money they do NOT have as disposable income after paying taxes, has already been spent, and by whom.

READER NOTE: This page's (all its boxes) "Sound-off" commentary are courtesy user Let's Get Honest @ Familycourtatters.wordpress.com blog (over 680 posts across, so far, 7 yrs of investigations on the subject matter; since 2009...I did not post latter half of 2014 and for 2015 due to personal crises, resumed Jan. 2016) CENIC's "California Education and Research Network" was on my blog yesterday, the only reason I knew (specifically by name — the general function of some sort of controller of the university-research institution internet infrastructure was obvious) it existed. I am paid NOTHING for this work. If you quote of fwd, acknowledge and provide the exact link (from any blog, incl. mine) on which you found this annotated image or pdf.

CENIC (see website CENIC.org) is providing imp't services. Its main clients are universities, County Offices of Education (all 58 in the state and State-level Dept. of Educ), research institutions and universities (public and it seems some private also), and others who are obviously supported by taxpayers as public institutions. The main source of income, by far, any given year, is "Program Service Revenues" (see tax return for the several categories). These being lumped (public & private together) in that category obscures just how much public funding is involved in the organization (which only was formed in 1997).

I was reminded that "Internet2" (restricted, subscriber-based access to a less congested, higher-speed and quality, by definition also likely to be much more expensive) was also started in the mid-1990s. For accountability, all public institutions and the nonprofits (and related subcontractors/Sched-R entities profiting businesswise from them) which exist primarily courtesy the public as an (inexhaustible) source of taxpayers, and/or warm bodies (labor/workforce who, if operating as individual employees, and not primarily investors, businessowners, and/or controlling private (or even public) foundations, are going to get what they demand, in the area of accountability — and not more than they have demanded, as required by law. From what I can tell, too few people are reading tax returns, and even fewer are spending major time on the Registry of Charitable Trusts reading the RRFs, and blogging charities, when it comes to specific, or generic, subject matter areas. Yet we deal with lower-level, slower-speed Internet access uniformly UNLESS we come through the subscriber institutions which, in a very real sense (collectively) "we" (current ongoing, prior generations, earlier) have already paid for, and are at a disadvantage for conceptually understanding even our own government operations. Something the preK-12, (Cradle-to-Career) infrastructure as it is now, or as it's intended to be, is not about to help much with.

STATEMENT (S) 15