

Please share with others who you think can comprehend what is being discussed here.

The following is sent to you FYI from,

Walter Burien - CAFR1

From: "Carl Herman"
Subject: Re: L.A. County D.A.: CAFR billions non-disclosure "not a crime," but won't explain
Date: Sun, July 1, 2012 9:28 am
To: WalterBurien@cafr1.com



Well said, Walter. I'll share it.

Image Filename for quoting at FamilyCourtMatters.org

Carl

2012 cafr1.com|Herman.html [2] of The Screw
Tiightens-CA Govt Fincl Disclosure (Burien|Herman
exchange)(Sershot 2017-01-31 at 307PM.png

On Sat, Jun 30, 2012 at 11:01 PM, Walter Burien <WalterBurien@CAFR1.com> wrote:

Carl:

Your best leg to stand on per that issue addressed in your email copied below is doing a parallel of SEC law per public company disclosure. There every company MUST (is required) to send every shareholder if they have one-share or ten-million shares owned in the company their Annual Financial Report each year. A clear effort for open disclosure is required. If they failed to do so, it is an indictable offense. If they colluded to intentionally hold it back in an attempt to fudge the numbers and screw the shareholders through lower payouts or to secretly buy back their own stock at pennies on the dollar, every director involved would get hit with a forty-count SEC indictment, be convicted, and sentenced to a long term at San Quentin.